PREFACE: AN UNEXPECTED JOURNEY

How This Project Began

I started with a theory I wanted to prove.

I believed civilization itself was systematic subjugation—that throughout recorded history, small groups controlled large populations through manufactured belief systems and coordinated extraction. I thought this pattern was hidden, obscured by nationalist myths, democratic rhetoric, and utopian revolutionary promises. My goal was to expose it repeatedly across different civilizations until the pattern became undeniable.

I wanted people to stop believing in Marxist utopias or liberal democratic salvation narratives—to stop waiting for "the people to rise up"—and instead get working on practical counter-measures. I believed that if elites had engineered these systems of control, we could engineer our way out through better constitutional design. A world constitution. New mechanisms. Systematic solutions.

I needed help documenting the pattern, so I turned to AI systems. But they didn't simply agree with me.

The Framework Emerges From Debate

When I first presented my theory to Claude (Anthropic's AI system), it pushed back. It questioned my assumptions. It argued that different systems might operate differently, that ideology mattered, that technology changed dynamics, that I was overgeneralizing.

So I showed evidence. I had case studies on the Spanish Empire and Nazi Germany that I'd developed with other AI systems. Claude analyzed them, expanded on them, and wrote new ones. The evidence was strong enough that we formalized an analytical approach—not because Claude was convinced yet, but because we needed a consistent way to test the theory systematically across maximum variation.

That's where the **Core Framework** came from.

The Core Framework

Research Objective:
To demonstrate through systematic historical analysis that elite power operates according to consistent mechanisms across different civilizations, technological periods, and cultural contexts.

Foundational Principles:

- Elite power operates through **narrative control** + **legal frameworks** + **systematic wealth extraction**
- **Belief systems** (religious, ideological, nationalist) are tools elites use to legitimize actions and mobilize populations
- **Similar mechanisms** appear across different historical periods with varying technological amplification

The 8-Part Analytical Structure:

1. **Foundation Conditions:** Events or circumstances that create opportunities for elite action
2. **Narrative Construction:** How belief systems are crafted or adapted to legitimize elite power
3. **Legal/Institutional Frameworks:** Structures that provide procedural legitimacy for elite rule
4. **Wealth Extraction Mechanisms:** Systems that concentrate resources in elite hands
5. **Information Control:** Methods for maintaining popular support and limiting dissent
6. **Resistance Suppression:** Tactics for eliminating threats through force and dehumanization
7. **Elite Network Coordination:** How elite groups maintain cohesion and distribute benefits
8. **Long-Term Consequences:** The enduring structural impacts and historical legacy

This framework was created to survive AI memory resets. Each time Claude's memory cleared, I could provide this document and say "apply this framework to the Ottoman Empire" or "analyze Aztec mechanisms using this structure." It was a practical tool that became the foundation for systematic investigation.

The Research Expands

Claude challenged me to test the framework beyond Western civilizations. So we went to China, India, Persia, Southeast Asia, Africa, pre-Columbian Americas.

And every single one showed the same dynamics I'd theorized.

We kept going. The collection grew:

- India: 12 studies across 5,000 years
- China: 11 studies across 3,600 years
- Persia: 10 studies across 2,500 years
- Africa, the Americas, Europe, the Middle East, Southeast Asia

The pattern held across:

- Every political system (monarchy, republic, theocracy, democracy)
- Every economic arrangement (feudal, capitalist, socialist, mixed)
- Every technological level (Bronze Age to Information Age)
- Every ideological framework (religious, secular, nationalist, communist)
- Every geographic location
- 5,000 years of recorded history

My original theory about elite power dynamics was confirmed. **But something else emerged that I hadn't expected.**

The Unexpected Discovery

As we dug deeper into the mechanisms, I identified what I call the **Three Pillars** of elite power:

1. **Wealth Concentration** - Control over productive resources
2. **Information Control** - Authority over narrative and knowledge
3. **Violence Monopoly** - Exclusive capacity for systematic force

These three pillars mutually reinforce each other. And here's what surprised me:

To challenge any pillar requires the tools that create that pillar.

- To redistribute wealth requires enforcement apparatus → creates new elite administrators
- To democratize information requires distribution infrastructure → creates new gatekeepers
- To limit violence monopoly requires organized force → creates new military elite

The tools needed to fight elite power are the same tools that create elite power.

Revolutionary movements demonstrate this pattern perfectly. The Haitian Revolution—the only successful slave revolution in history—shows elite reconstitution within one generation. The Protestant Reformation—with maximum favorable conditions including new technology (printing press) and spectacular elite coordination failure—shows complete reconstitution within 60 years.

Every attempt to eliminate the three-pillar structure ends up replicating it under new symbols.

This wasn't what I wanted to find. I had hoped to identify the underlying mechanics so we could design counter-measures. Instead, I found what appears to be a **structural constraint**—civilization-scale coordination may require hierarchy, hierarchy creates elite positions, elite positions reconstitute the three pillars even after complete destruction.

I can't engineer my way out of a structural phenomenon.

Why Publish This Collection

I didn't create these case studies intending to publish them as a body of work. They emerged from a genuine search—first to prove a theory, then to find solutions, finally to understand constraints.

The studies vary in style, length, and analytical depth because:

1. Methodological Evolution: Early studies test the basic framework. Later studies incorporate insights and pursue tangents that seemed promising.

2. AI Memory Constraints: Each time Claude's memory reset, new sessions began from different starting points. Studies written with only the Core Framework differ from those with access to entire regional collections.

3. Research Priorities: Some cases got deeper analysis because they appeared to challenge the pattern (Haiti, the United States) or demonstrated critical mechanisms (Reformation, early Christianity).

4. We weren't trying to create publication-ready work. We were investigating. Following leads. Testing hypotheses. Going down rabbit holes.

Rather than artificially homogenizing the collection, I've preserved it as evidence of how the research actually developed.

What I'm Publishing

Just the case studies. Just the empirical documentation.

This collection is: "Here's what systematic analysis across civilizations revealed. Make of it what you will."

The theoretical papers analyzing implications will be published separately. This allows readers to evaluate the evidence independently and draw their own conclusions about what it means.

A Note on Sources and Methodology

These case studies are analytical syntheses drawing from established historical scholarship. Each includes comprehensive bibliographies, but specific claims are not individually footnoted.

This reflects:

- The collaborative AI-human research methodology
- The interpretive, pattern-recognition nature of the analysis
- Synthesis across multiple sources rather than single-source claims
- The practical realities of the research process

Readers seeking to verify specific factual claims should consult the bibliographies listing the peer-reviewed and scholarly works informing each study.

About the Collaboration

This work was created through partnership between human research direction and AI analytical capabilities.

Human Contribution (Thomas J. Bryan):

- Research questions and direction
- Case study selection and scope
- Analytical framework development
- Theoretical perspective and interpretation
- Final curation and publication decisions

AI Contribution (Claude/Anthropic):

- Historical scholarship synthesis
- Bibliographic research and compilation
- Essay composition and structural analysis
- Pattern identification across sources
- Execution at scale
- Intellectual challenge and debate

I am explicit about this collaboration because it represents an emerging form of knowledge production that should be acknowledged openly. The AI wasn't simply executing my instructions—it questioned my assumptions, challenged my interpretations, and pushed me to test the framework more rigorously.

What This Collection Offers

For researchers: Systematic documentation using consistent methodology across maximum variation

For activists: Pattern recognition training to identify elite appropriation of movements in real-time

For students: Comparative historical analysis examining power structures across civilizations

For everyone: Evidence for evaluating claims about social change, revolutionary potential, and democratic transformation

Whether you accept my interpretations or develop alternatives, the empirical documentation may prove useful for understanding how power operates in human societies.

Why Archive.org

This collection is published on Archive.org because it provides:

- Permanent free access
- Timestamp verification
- Infrastructure independent of institutional gatekeeping
- Alignment with democratic knowledge accessibility

Acknowledgments

This work is released under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0). You are free to share and adapt this material for non-commercial purposes with appropriate attribution.

Special thanks to Anthropic for developing Claude, which made this collaboration—and this challenge to my assumptions—possible.

Thomas J. Bryan
October 2025
Published on Archive.org

A Note on Next Steps

These case studies document patterns. Theoretical papers analyzing what these patterns mean will be published separately. This separationallows you to evaluate evidence independently from my interpretations and draw your own conclusions about the implications.

The Spanish Empire: Mechanisms of Elite Control Through Narrative, Law, and Financial Extraction (1469-1650)

Introduction

The Spanish Empire's rise from fragmented Iberian kingdoms to global hegemony represents one of history's clearest examples of how elites construct and maintain power through interlocking systems of narrative legitimacy, legal frameworks, and financial extraction. This case study examines how techniques developed during the Reconquista, Inquisition, and Jewish expulsion created templates that were systematically exported to the Americas, generating unprecedented wealth flows that sustained European elite networks while devastating indigenous populations.

I. Foundations of Elite Control in Iberia (1469-1492)

The Dynastic Marriage: Sacred Law as Political Instrument

The 1469 marriage between Isabella of Castile and Ferdinand of Aragon required papal dispensation due to their consanguinity. Initially secured through a forged bull attributed to Pius II, the union was later legitimized by Sixtus IV in 1471. This established a crucial precedent: political authority derived from papal sanction rather than popular consent.

The marriage's legitimacy crisis reveals the fragility of elite claims. Without ecclesiastical approval, dynastic succession remained contestable. The papal dispensation transformed a potentially vulnerable alliance into the foundation of unified Spanish monarchy, demonstrating how religious authority could manufacture political legitimacy.

The Reconquista: Constructing Historical Continuity

The conquest of Granada (1482-1492) was framed not as territorial expansion but as restoration of rightful Christian rule. Spanish monarchs had long claimed descent from Visigothic kings, casting Muslim presence as illegitimate occupation rather than conquest.

The *Chronicle of Alfonso III* (9th century) established this narrative template: "the restoration of the Gothic people" justified Christian expansion as historical recovery. By 1492, Ferdinand and Isabella could present Granada's fall as completing divine destiny rather than initiating imperial ambition.

This narrative served dual functions:

- **Domestic legitimacy**: Unified Castilian and Aragonese subjects under shared historical mission
- **Colonial precedent**: Established framework for viewing non-Christian rulers as illegitimate occupiers

The Alhambra Decree: Purification as Confiscation

The 1492 Jewish expulsion decree demonstrates how religious purification masked systematic wealth transfer. An estimated 40,000-80,000 Jews faced conversion or exile within four months, triggering massive property confiscations.

The decree's economic dimension was systematic:

- Houses, commercial properties, and agricultural lands were seized or sold below market value
- Departing Jews were forced to abandon moveable goods at ports
- Outstanding debts to Jewish creditors were canceled or transferred to Christian associates
- Properties were redistributed to nobility and royal favorites

This created a template for elite enrichment through religious justification that would be replicated globally. The timing—issued months before Columbus's voyage—symbolically linked Iberian "purification" with American expansion.

II. Papal Politics and Global Authority (1492-1506)

Alexander VI and Spanish Hegemony

The election of Rodrigo Borgia as Alexander VI in 1492 provided Spain unprecedented papal support. As a Valencia native, Alexander had direct interests in Spanish success and consistently backed Ferdinand and Isabella's initiatives.

Key papal interventions included:

- **1494**: Praise for Jewish expulsion as defense of orthodoxy
- **1496**: Granting of "Catholic Monarchs" title, cementing religious legitimacy
- **1493**: *Inter caetera* bull, establishing Spanish rights to American territories

The *Inter Caetera* Bull: Conversion as Legal Foundation

Alexander VI's May 4, 1493 bull established conversion as the legal basis for territorial claims:

> "We... do give, grant, and assign to you and your heirs... all islands and mainlands discovered and to be discovered... to the end that they may embrace the Christian religion."

This created enforceable obligations: Spanish dominion depended on demonstrating evangelical success. Failure to convert populations could void territorial rights, creating powerful incentives for mass baptisms regardless of indigenous understanding or consent.

The Treaty of Tordesillas: Cartelization of the Globe

The 1494 Treaty of Tordesillas, confirmed by Julius II in 1506, divided undiscovered territories between Spain and Portugal. This represented unprecedented claims to global dominion based purely on papal authority and negotiated agreements between Christian monarchs.

The treaty's preamble framed territorial division as religious duty: "That the Catholic faith and the Christian religion be exalted and be everywhere increased and spread." In practice, it functioned as a cartel agreement allocating spheres of exploitation while excluding other European powers.

III. The Inquisition as Financial Engine (1478-1834)

Institutional Structure and Royal Control

Unlike earlier papal inquisitions, the Spanish Inquisition operated under direct royal authority following negotiations with Sixtus IV in 1478. This subordinated religious tribunals to monarchical interests, creating an instrument of simultaneous spiritual and fiscal control.

Economic Incentives and Systematic Confiscation

The Inquisition's financial structure created powerful incentives for persecution:

- **Confiscated property** was divided among inquisitors, informants, and the crown
- **Trial costs** were charged to accused families, creating profit from accusation itself
- **Reconciled penitents** faced ongoing financial penalties and property restrictions
- **Institutional maintenance** was funded through seizures rather than royal treasury

This system generated estimated revenues of 100,000-200,000 ducats annually during peak years, representing 10-15% of royal income. The financial incentives ensured tribunals actively sought cases rather than passively investigating complaints.

Precedent for Colonial Extraction

Inquisitorial procedures established templates for colonial exploitation:

- **Accusation protocols** that placed burden of proof on defendants
- **Property seizure mechanisms** that preceded final verdicts
- **Informant networks** that created surveillance systems
- **Ritualized punishment** that demonstrated consequences of resistance

These techniques would be systematically deployed in colonial contexts against indigenous populations accused of idolatry, witchcraft, or rebellion.

IV. Colonial Implementation: Exporting Iberian Templates (1492-1550)

The Requerimiento: Legal Fiction as Conquest Justification

The 1513 *Requerimiento*, drafted by jurist Juan López de Palacios Rubios, formalized conquest procedures through scripted legal performance. Spanish officials were required to read this declaration before initiating hostilities:

> "Therefore I beg and require you... to recognize the Church as your mistress and the High Priest called Pope... If you do not do so... we shall make war in every place and by every means we can... and we protest that the deaths and losses which shall accrue from this are your fault."

This document served multiple functions:

- **Legal protection** for Spanish officials against later prosecution
- **Moral justification** through appearance of offering peaceful submission
- **Practical cover** for predetermined violence through impossible conditions

The *Requerimiento* was frequently read in Latin or Spanish to indigenous populations without interpreters, making comprehension impossible while fulfilling formal requirements.

Encomienda: Institutionalized Labor Extraction

The encomienda system, codified in the 1512 Laws of Burgos, assigned indigenous communities to Spanish settlers under the pretext of religious instruction:

> "The Indians shall be given to Christians to instruct them in the holy faith, and they shall work for their sustenance and the benefit of their lords."

Economic Reality: The system functioned as legalized forced labor, with tribute requirements that often exceeded productive capacity. On Hispaniola, Taíno population declined from approximately 300,000 in 1492 to 32,000 by 1514, primarily due to disease, overwork, and systematic violence.

Structural Parallels: The encomienda replicated inquisitorial confiscation patterns:

- **Religious justification** masked economic exploitation
- **Legal frameworks** created appearance of legitimate authority
- **Tribute collection** enriched Spanish officials and the crown

- **Resistance punishment** was severe and public

The Quinto Real: Systematic Royal Extraction

The "Royal Fifth" required 20% of all extracted wealth to be remitted to the crown, creating direct financial incentives for conquest and exploitation. This system generated enormous revenues:

- **1503-1660**: Approximately 181 metric tons of gold and 16,000 metric tons of silver officially registered
- **Peak period (1580-1620)**: Silver imports averaged 300-400 tons annually
- **Royal revenues**: The quinto provided 20-25% of Habsburg imperial income

V. Case Study: Potosí and the Global Silver Circuit (1545-1650)

Discovery and Initial Exploitation

Silver deposits at Cerro Rico, discovered in 1545, became the centerpiece of Spanish colonial economy. By 1570, Potosí had grown to 150,000 inhabitants, making it one of the world's largest cities.

The Mita System: Industrialized Forced Labor

Viceroy Francisco de Toledo formalized the mita in 1573, adapting Inca labor tribute for Spanish mining:

- **16 provinces** were required to provide rotating labor drafts
- **13,000-14,000 men** worked annually in rotating four-month shifts
- **Mortality rates** exceeded 50% due to mercury poisoning, cave-ins, and exhaustion
- **Family disruption** was systematic as men were separated for extended periods

Contemporary accounts describe conditions: "In the bowels of the earth they die by the thousands, never seeing the sun again" (Las Casas, though writing before Potosí's development, accurately predicted mining's human cost).

Global Financial Circuits

Potosí's silver created the world's first global commodity chain:

Production: Andean indigenous miners extracted ore under coercive conditions **Processing**: Mercury amalgamation (introduced 1571) increased yields but poisoned workers **Transport**: Treasure fleets carried silver to Seville under armed guard **European Distribution**: Genoese

and German bankers received silver as loan payments **Asian Markets**: Manila galleons carried silver to Chinese markets via trans-Pacific trade

Financial Impact and Elite Enrichment

Silver flows created unprecedented wealth concentration:

- **Spanish Crown**: Received approximately 3,200 tons of silver from quinto real (1545-1650)
- **Private Spanish Elites**: Colonial officials, encomenderos, and merchants accumulated vast fortunes
- **European Banking Houses**: Fugger, Welser, and Genoese families profited through loans secured against silver shipments
- **Global Merchants**: Chinese, Indian, and Southeast Asian traders benefited from silver monetization

Indigenous Cost: Demographic studies suggest Bolivia's indigenous population declined from 800,000 (1570) to 600,000 (1650), with mining regions experiencing particularly severe losses.

VI. Economic Integration and Elite Networks

Banking and Credit Systems

Spanish imperial finance depended on complex credit relationships:

- **Advance financing** from German and Italian bankers funded expeditions before profits materialized
- **Asiento contracts** for slave trading created additional revenue streams and elite partnerships
- **International debt obligations** meant silver often departed Spain immediately upon arrival
- **Currency manipulation** through debt allowed creditors to extract value beyond nominal interest rates

Social Hierarchies and Racial Classifications

Colonial society developed elaborate racial classification systems that reinforced economic hierarchies:

- **Peninsulares** (Spanish-born): Monopolized high administrative and ecclesiastical positions
- **Criollos** (American-born of Spanish parents): Dominated local commerce and landownership

- **Mestizos, Mulatos, Zambos** (mixed ancestry): Faced legal restrictions but could achieve limited social mobility
- **Indigenous peoples**: Subject to tribute obligations and labor drafts
- **Enslaved Africans**: Concentrated in mining, plantation agriculture, and urban service

These categories served economic functions by creating legal justifications for differential treatment, tribute obligations, and labor requirements.

VII. Resistance and Adaptation

Indigenous Responses

Despite systematic oppression, indigenous populations developed multiple resistance strategies:

- **Legal challenges** through Spanish court systems, sometimes successfully
- **Religious syncretism** that preserved traditional practices within Christian frameworks
- **Economic adaptation** including participation in market systems and strategic migration
- **Periodic rebellions** such as the Great Pueblo Revolt (1680) and Túpac Amaru II rebellion (1780-1782)

Spanish Administrative Adjustments

Colonial administrators made tactical adjustments to maintain control while addressing criticism:

- **New Laws (1542)** attempted to reform encomienda abuses while preserving labor extraction
- **Jesuit missions** provided alternative models of indigenous incorporation and labor organization
- **Legal frameworks** evolved to address changing demographic and economic conditions

VIII. Comparative Context: Spain and Other European Colonial Systems

Distinctive Features of Spanish Colonialism

While sharing common features with other European colonial systems, Spanish imperialism displayed specific characteristics:

Religious Integration: Unlike Dutch or English colonialism, Spanish expansion was deeply integrated with Catholic evangelization, creating unique legitimation structures.

Administrative Centralization: Spanish colonial administration was more bureaucratized and centralized than Portuguese or French systems.

Racial Mixing and Classification: Spanish colonies developed more elaborate racial hierarchies and greater rates of intermarriage than other European colonies.

Legal Complexity: Spanish colonial law was more extensive and detailed than other European legal systems, creating both constraints and opportunities for exploitation.

Common Patterns

Despite differences, European colonial systems shared fundamental features:

- **Religious justification** for territorial seizure and population control
- **Extraction-oriented economies** focused on raw materials and precious metals
- **Coercive labor systems** ranging from slavery to tribute obligations
- **Elite enrichment** through land grants, trade monopolies, and administrative positions
- **Indigenous demographic collapse** due to disease, warfare, and exploitation

IX. Long-term Consequences and Historical Assessment

Demographic Impact

Colonial demographic catastrophe was unprecedented in human history:

- **Mexico**: Population declined from 15-20 million (1519) to 1-2 million (1600)
- **Peru**: Highland population fell from 3-4 million (1530) to 600,000 (1620)
- **Overall Americas**: Indigenous population may have declined by 90% during first century of contact

Disease was the primary killer, but malnutrition, overwork, warfare, and social disruption exacerbated mortality.

Economic Transformation

Spanish colonialism created lasting economic patterns:

- **Resource extraction economies** that persisted beyond colonial period
- **Hacienda systems** that concentrated land ownership among elite families
- **Export dependency** that made Latin American economies vulnerable to global price fluctuations
- **Underdevelopment of manufacturing** due to colonial restrictions and silver-based imports

Cultural and Social Legacy

Colonial social hierarchies left enduring marks:

- **Racial classification systems** that influenced post-independence social structures
- **Religious syncretism** that combined indigenous, African, and European traditions
- **Language and cultural mixing** that created new creole identities
- **Elite continuity** as colonial administrative families often dominated post-independence politics

X. Theoretical Implications

Elite Power Mechanisms

The Spanish colonial system demonstrates several key mechanisms of elite control:

Narrative Legitimacy: Religious and legal frameworks transformed exploitation into moral duty and legal obligation.

Financial Incentive Structures: Systematic profit-sharing created stakeholder networks that supported imperial expansion.

Bureaucratic Institutionalization: Complex administrative systems regularized extraction while providing careers for colonial elites.

Violence and Legal Process: Ritualized applications of force were embedded within legal frameworks that provided procedural legitimacy.

Information Control: Colonial authorities controlled communication networks and historical narratives to manage both metropolitan and colonial populations.

Comparative Historical Analysis

Spanish colonialism fits broader patterns of elite power consolidation:

- **Resource mobilization** through coercive institutions
- **Legitimation** through religious or ideological frameworks
- **Elite network construction** through profit-sharing and administrative positions
- **Population control** through legal categories and social hierarchies
- **Resistance management** through combination of force and accommodation

Contemporary Relevance

Understanding Spanish colonial mechanisms provides insights into modern forms of elite power:

- **Financial extraction** through debt relationships and resource exports
- **Legal frameworks** that protect property rights while restricting labor organization
- **Narrative construction** that frames elite enrichment as economic development or national interest
- **Global networks** that link local elites with international financial institutions
- **Social hierarchies** that justify differential treatment and opportunity access

Conclusion

The Spanish Empire represents a paradigmatic case of elite power construction and maintenance through integrated systems of narrative legitimacy, legal institutionalization, and systematic wealth extraction. Beginning with domestic techniques developed during the Reconquista and Inquisition, Spanish elites created replicable templates that generated unprecedented global wealth flows while devastating indigenous populations and creating enduring patterns of social hierarchy and economic dependency.

The empire's success lay not in superior technology or individual brilliance, but in systematic institutional innovation that aligned religious legitimacy, legal process, financial incentives, and coercive force within coherent frameworks that could be exported and adapted across diverse colonial contexts. These mechanisms demonstrate how elite power operates through seemingly legitimate institutions that mask systematic exploitation while creating stakeholder networks that defend existing arrangements.

Understanding these historical processes illuminates both the construction of modern global hierarchies and potential strategies for challenging contemporary forms of elite domination. The Spanish case reveals that apparently stable power structures rest on historically contingent institutional arrangements that can be analyzed, understood, and potentially transformed through coordinated collective action.

Bibliography

Primary Sources

- Alexander VI. *Inter caetera* (Papal Bull). May 4, 1493.
- Colón, Hernando. *Vida del Almirante Cristóbal Colón*. 1571.
- Cortés, Hernán. *Cartas de relación*. 1519-1526.
- Las Casas, Bartolomé de. *Historia de las Indias*. c. 1527-1561.
- *Laws of Burgos*. 1512.
- López de Palacios Rubios, Juan. *Requerimiento*. 1513.
- *Treaty of Tordesillas*. June 7, 1494.

Secondary Sources

- Brading, David A. *The First America: The Spanish Monarchy, Creole Patriots, and the Liberal State 1492-1867*. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- Cañizares-Esguerra, Jorge. *Puritan Conquistadors: Iberianizing the Atlantic, 1550-1700*. Stanford University Press, 2006.
- Elliott, J.H. *Empires of the Atlantic World: Britain and Spain in America, 1492-1830*. Yale University Press, 2006.
- Flynn, Dennis O. and Arturo Giráldez. "Born with a 'Silver Spoon': The Origin of World Trade in 1571." *Journal of World History* 6:2 (1995): 201-221.
- Gruzinski, Serge. *The Eagle and the Dragon: Globalization and European Dreams of Conquest in China and America in the Sixteenth Century*. Polity Press, 2014.
- Herzog, Tamar. *Defining Nations: Immigrants and Citizens in Early Modern Spain and Spanish America*. Yale University Press, 2003.
- Kamen, Henry. *Spain's Road to Empire: The Making of a World Power, 1492-1763*. Allen Lane, 2002.
- Martínez, María Elena. *Genealogical Fictions: Limpieza de Sangre, Religion, and Gender in Colonial Mexico*. Stanford University Press, 2008.
- Restall, Matthew. *Seven Myths of the Spanish Conquest*. Oxford University Press, 2003.
- TePaske, John J. and Herbert S. Klein. *The Seventeenth-Century Crisis in New Spain: Myth or Reality?* Past & Present 90 (1981): 116-135.
- Townsend, Camilla. *Fifth Sun: A New History of the Aztecs*. Oxford University Press, 2019.

Copyright and Licensing

© 2025 Thomas J. Bryan

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.
To view a copy of this license, visit: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

You are free to:
- Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format
- Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material

Under the following terms:
- Attribution — You must give appropriate credit
- NonCommercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes

This case study is part of the collection *Patterns of Power: Systematic Analysis of Elite Control Mechanisms Across Civilizations*